Overall, I think the blog looks great visually and is organized well. Below are a few comments and minor edits.

*Attributable Cases post:*

The second measure is a proportion of observed cases in the total population that would not have occurred had the population was entirely unexposed (replace with been?)

The proportion of cases among those happened to be exposed that would not have occurred when(delete?) had the exposed have been entirely unexposed is defined as follows:

Could the Suzuki article referred to be in AJE rather than AJPH? – I did not find one in AJPH.

*Attribution in Causal Reasoning post:*

If a population is under (replace with subject) to a harmful exposure (e.g. agricultural pesticides) that causes health effects, and then if the exposure is removed (e.g. by policy), we would expect that the overall number of health effects would decline.

*Assumptions in Causal graphs:*

Because we only assume the conditional probability for the variable at the arrow’s head, given the variable at the arrow’s start. And that does not bear any causality.

(I am still trying to understand this comment…I will have to think about it a bit more and may follow up with a question.)